arXiv:1604.01845v2 [math.AG] 23 Nov 2016

KOLLÁR-TYPE EFFECTIVE FREENESS FOR QUASI-LOG CANONICAL PAIRS

OSAMU FUJINO

Abstract. We prove Kollár-type effective basepoint-free theorems for quasi-log canonical pairs.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 4
3. Kollár's method for quasi-log canonical pairs 5
4. Effective very ampleness lemma 9
5. Proof of Main Theorems 10
References 11

1. Introduction

In [Kol1], János Kollár established an effective basepoint-free theorem for kawamata log terminal pairs inspired by Demailly's paper [Dem]. Of course, Demailly's arguments in [Dem] are analytic. In [Kol1], Kollár wrote:

> The algebraic method works for X singular and also for certain non ample line bundles. I formulated the most general case; readers interested in smooth varieties should just always set $\Delta = 0$.

The author generalized Kollár's effective basepoint-free theorem for log canonical pairs in [Fuj1]. We note that the category of log canonical pairs is much wider than that of kawamata log terminal pairs and is the largest class for which the minimal model program can work (see [Fuj4]). Although the arguments in [Fuj1] are algebraic and essentially the same as Kollár's in [Kol1], the vanishing theorems used in [Fuj1] are much sharper than the Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem, which is the main ingredient of [Kol1]. Since our vanishing theorems (see [Fuj8], [Fuj10], and [Fuj6, Chapter 5]) are sufficiently powerful, we can apply Kollár's method in [Kol1] to quasi-log canonical pairs. We note that the notion of quasi-log canonical pairs was introduced by Florin Ambro in [Amb]. We also note that any (quasi-projective semi-)log canonical pair can be seen as a quasi-log canonical pair (see [Fuj5], 1.6 and Theorem 1.7).

The main result of this paper is the following effective freeness and very ampleness for quasi-log canonical pairs.

Theorem 1.1 (Effective freeness and very ampleness I)**.** *Let $[X, \omega]$ be a quasi-log canonical pair and let $\pi : X \to Y$ be a projective morphism between schemes. Let D be a π-nef Cartier divisor on X such that $aD - \omega$ is π-ample for some $a \geq 0$. Then there exists a positive*

Date: 2016/11/22, version 0.05.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14C20; Secondary 14E30.
Key words and phrases. quasi-log canonical pairs, basepoint-free theorem, quasi-log structures, effective very ampleness.

integer $m = m(\dim X, a)$, which only depends on $\dim X$ and a, such that $\mathcal{O}_X(mD)$ is π-generated. We further assume that D is π-ample. Then there exists a positive integer $m' = m'(\dim X, a)$ depending only on $\dim X$ and a such that $\mathcal{O}_X(m'D)$ is π-very ample.

In Theorem 1.1, it is sufficient to assume that $aD - \omega$ is nef and log big over Y with respect to $[X, \omega]$ when ω is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor (or a $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle). Precisely speaking, we have:

Theorem 1.2 (Effective freeness and very ampleness II)**.** *Let $[X, \omega]$ be a quasi-log canonical pair and let $\pi : X \to Y$ be a projective morphism between schemes. Let D be a π-nef Cartier divisor on X such that $aD - \omega$ is nef and log big over Y with respect to $[X, \omega]$ for some $a \geq 0$. We further assume that ω is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor (or a $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle) on X. Then there exists a positive integer $m = m(\dim X, a)$, which only depends on $\dim X$ and a, such that $\mathcal{O}_X(mD)$ is π-generated. We further assume that D is π-ample. Then there exists a positive integer $m' = m'(\dim X, a)$ depending only on $\dim X$ and a such that $\mathcal{O}_X(m'D)$ is π-very ample.*

For the constants $m(\dim X, a)$ and $m'(\dim X, a)$ in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, we have:

Remark 1.3. We can take

$$m(\dim X, a) = 2^{\dim X+1}(\dim X + 1)!(\lceil a \rceil + \dim X)$$

and

$$m'(\dim X, a) = 2^{\dim X+1}(\dim X + 1)!(\lceil a \rceil + \dim X)(\dim X + 1)$$

in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. The above constants are far from the optimal constants.

As a corollary of the above theorems, we have:

Corollary 1.4 (Effective freeness and very ampleness for semi-log canonical pairs)**.** *Let (X, Δ) be a semi-log canonical pair and let $\pi : X \to Y$ be a projective morphism between schemes. Let D be a π-nef Cartier divisor on X and let a be a nonnegative real number. We assume one of the following conditions:*

(1) *$aD - (K_X + \Delta)$ is ample over Y, or*
(2) *$aD - (K_X + \Delta)$ is nef and log big over Y with respect to (X, Δ) and Δ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor.*

Then there exists a positive integer $m = m(\dim X, a)$, which only depends on $\dim X$ and a, such that $\mathcal{O}_X(mD)$ is π-generated. We further assume that D is π-ample. Then there exists a positive integer $m' = m'(\dim X, a)$ depending only on $\dim X$ and a such that $\mathcal{O}_X(m'D)$ is π-very ample.

Corollary 1.4 is a slight generalization of [Fuj5, Theorem 6.3].

Remark 1.5. If (X, Δ) is kawamata log terminal in Corollary 1.4, then it is sufficient to assume that π is proper, $aD - (K_X + \Delta)$ is nef and big over Y, and Δ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor (see [Kol1]). For some comments, see Remark 3.7 below.

Let us quickly see some basic examples of quasi-log canonical pairs for the reader's convenience.

1.6 (Quasi-log canonical pairs)**.** Let (X, Δ) be a log canonical pair and let $f : Y \to X$ be a resolution such that $K_Y + \Delta_Y = f^*(K_X + \Delta)$ and $\operatorname{Supp} \Delta_Y$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on Y. We put $B = \Delta_Y^{=1}$ and $\Delta_Y = S + B$. We consider the following short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_Y(-S + \lceil -B \rceil) \to \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil -B \rceil) \to \mathcal{O}_S(\lceil -B \rceil) \to 0.$$

By the Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem, we have $R^1f_*\mathcal{O}_Y(-S+\lceil -B\rceil)=0$. Therefore, we obtain the short exact sequence

$$0\to \mathcal{J}(X,\Delta)\to \mathcal{O}_X\to f_*\mathcal{O}_S(\lceil -B\rceil)\to 0,$$

where $\mathcal{J}(X,\Delta)=f_*\mathcal{O}_Y(-S+\lceil -B\rceil)$ is the multiplier ideal sheaf of (X,Δ). Let $\mathrm{Nklt}(X,\Delta)$ denote the non-klt locus of (X,Δ) with the reduced scheme structure. Then we have $f_*\mathcal{O}_S(\lceil -B\rceil)\simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Nklt}(X,\Delta)}$. This data

$$f:(S,B|_S)\to \mathrm{Nklt}(X,\Delta)$$

is a typical example of quasi-log canonical pairs. We note that the data

$$f:(X,\Delta)\to X$$

is also a quasi-log canonical pair since the natural map $\mathcal{O}_X\to f_*\mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil -B\rceil)$ is an isomorphism. Moreover, we have:

Theorem 1.7 ([Fuj5, Theorem 1.2])**.** *Let (X,Δ) be a quasi-projective semi-log canonical pair. Then $[X,K_X+\Delta]$ is naturally a quasi-log canonical pair.*

Therefore, we can treat log canonical pairs, non-klt loci of log canonical pairs, and (quasi-projective) semi-log canonical pairs, and so on, in the same framework of quasi-log canonical pairs. For the precise statement and various applications of Theorem 1.7, see [Fuj5].

The following observation shows one of the advantages of using the framework of quasi-log canonical pairs.

1.8. Let X' be any irreducible component of X in Theorem 1.1 or Theorem 1.2. Then, by the vanishing theorem for quasi-log canonical pairs (see, for example, [Fuj7, Theorem 3.8] and [Fuj6, Chapter 6]), $R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X(kD)\otimes \mathcal{I}_{X'})=0$ for every $k\geq a$, where $\mathcal{I}_{X'}$ is the defining ideal sheaf of X'. Therefore, the natural restriction map

$$\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(kD)\to \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(kD)$$

is surjective for every $k\geq a$. Moreover, by adjunction (see, for example, [Fuj7, Theorem 3.8] and [Fuj6, Chapter 6]), $[X',\omega|_{X'}]$ is quasi-log canonical. Thus, we may assume that X is irreducible when we prove that $\mathcal{O}_X(mD)$ is π-generated for some $m\geq a$ in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. It is obvious that we may also assume that Y is affine for the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

Finally, we make some comments on the Angehrn–Siu-type effective freeness and point separation.

1.9. The Angehrn–Siu-type effective freeness and point separation for smooth projective varieties was first obtained in [AS]. Then it was generalized for kawamata log terminal pairs in [Kol2] and for log canonical pairs in [Fuj2]. Recently, Haidong Liu established the Angehrn–Siu-type effective freeness and point separation for quasi-log canonical pairs in [Liu].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prepare some useful lemmas for the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. In Section 3, which is the main part of this paper, we translate Kollár's method in [Kol1] into the framework of quasi-log canonical pairs. In Section 4, we prove an easy lemma on effective very ampleness. Section 5 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2, and Corollary 1.4.

Acknowledgments. The author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP24684002, JP16H06337, JP16H03925.

We will work over $\mathbb{C}$, the complex number field, throughout this paper. For the details of the theory of quasi-log schemes, see [Fuj6, Chapter 6]. For a gentle introduction to the theory of quasi-log canonical pairs, we recommend the reader to see [Fuj3]. For the standard notations and conventions of the minimal model program, see [Fuj4]. In this paper, a *scheme* means a separated scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$ and a *variety* means a reduced scheme.

2. Preliminaries

We will freely use the theory of quasi-log schemes (see [Fuj6, Chapter 6]). We note that our formulation of quasi-log schemes is slightly different from Ambro's original one in [Amb] (for the details, see [Fuj6, Chapter 6] and [Fuj9]).

2.1. Let $D = \sum_i a_i D_i$ be an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor, where $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and D_i is a prime divisor for every i such that $D_i \neq D_j$ for $i \neq j$. We put

$$D^{<1} = \sum_{a_i<1} a_i D_i \quad \text{and} \quad \lceil D \rceil = \sum_i \lceil a_i \rceil D_i, \tag{2.1}$$

where for every real number x, $\lceil x \rceil$ is the integer defined by $x \leq \lceil x \rceil < x+1$. We also put $\lfloor D \rfloor = -\lceil -D \rceil$ and $\{D\} = D - \lfloor D \rfloor$.

Let D be a Cartier divisor on X and let $\pi : X \to Y$ be a proper morphism. Then $\mathrm{Bs}_\pi |D|$ denotes the relative base locus (with the reduced scheme structure) with respect to $\pi : X \to Y$.

2.2 (Quasi-log canonical pairs)**.** Let M be a smooth variety, let Z be a simple normal crossing divisor on M, and let B be an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on M such that B and Z have no common irreducible components and that $\mathrm{Supp}(B+Z)$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on M. Then $(Z, B|_Z)$ is called a globally embedded simple normal crossing pair.

Let X be a scheme and let ω be an $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor (or an $\mathbb{R}$-line bundle) on X. Let $f : (Z, \Delta_Z) \to X$ be a proper morphism from a globally embedded simple normal crossing pair (Z, Δ_Z). If the natural map $\mathcal{O}_X \to f_*\mathcal{O}_Z(\lceil -(\Delta_Z^{<1}) \rceil)$ is an isomorphism and $f^*\omega \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K_Z + \Delta_Z$, then $[X, \omega]$ is called a quasi-log canonical pair (qlc pair, for short). We note that f is not always birational and that X is not necessarily equidimensional. For the details of quasi-log schemes, see [Fuj6, Chapter 6].

Remark 2.3. For the details of (globally embedded) simple normal crossing pairs, see, for example, [Fuj6, Chapter 5]. For the definitions of quasi-log schemes, qlc strata, qlc centers, non-qlc loci, nef and log big divisors, and so on, see, for example, [Fuj7, §3] and [Fuj6, Chapter 6].

Let us prepare some lemmas for the proof of the main results in the subsequent sections.

Lemma 2.4 (see [Liu, Lemma 2.8])**.** *Let $[X, \omega]$ be an irreducible qlc pair and let B be an effective $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor on X such that* $\mathrm{Supp}\, B$ *contains no qlc centers of $[X, \omega]$. Then we can construct a natural quasi-log structure on $[X, \omega + B]$.*

For the details of the quasi-log structure on $[X, \omega + B]$, see the construction in the proof below.

Proof. We take a quasi-log resolution $f : (Z, \Delta_Z) \to X$, where (Z, Δ_Z) is a globally embedded simple normal crossing pair. By taking some blow-ups, we may assume that $\mathrm{Supp}\, f^*B \cup \mathrm{Supp}\, \Delta_Z$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on Z since $\mathrm{Supp}\, B$ contains no qlc centers of $[X, \omega]$. We put $\Theta_Z = \Delta_Z + f^*B$. Then we have

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_Z(\lceil -(\Theta_Z^{<1}) \rceil - \lfloor \Theta_Z^{>1} \rfloor) \subset f_*\mathcal{O}_Z(\lceil -(\Delta_Z^{<1}) \rceil) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X. \tag{2.2}$$

Therefore, by setting

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Nqlc}(X,\omega+B)} = f_*\mathcal{O}_Z(\lceil -(\Theta_Z^{<1})\rceil - \lfloor \Theta_Z^{>1}\rfloor), \tag{2.3}$$

we have a quasi-log structure on $[X, \omega + B]$. By construction, this quasi-log structure coincides with the original quasi-log structure of $[X, \omega]$ outside $\operatorname{Supp} B$. □

The following lemma is essentially the same as [Fuj7, Lemma 3.16], which played a crucial role in the proof of the rationality theorem for quasi-log schemes (see [Fuj6, Chapter 6]).

Lemma 2.5. *Let $[X, \omega]$ be an irreducible qlc pair and let V be an irreducible closed subvariety of X such that $\operatorname{codim}_X V = k > 0$. Let $D_0, D_1, \cdots, D_k$ be effective Cartier divisors on X such that $V \subset \operatorname{Supp} D_i$ for every i. Assume that $\operatorname{Supp} D_i$ contains no qlc centers of $[X, \omega]$ for every i. Then $[X, \omega + \frac{1}{l}D_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k D_i]$ is not qlc at the generic point of V for every $l > 0$.*

In Lemma 2.5, we know that $[X, \omega + \frac{1}{l}D_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k D_i]$ has a quasi-log structure, which coincides with the original quasi-log structure of $[X, \omega]$ outside $\sum_{i=0}^k \operatorname{Supp} D_i$, by Lemma 2.4 since $\operatorname{Supp} D_i$ contains no qlc centers of $[X, \omega]$ for every i.

Proof. We will get a contradiction by assuming that $[X, \omega + \frac{1}{l}D_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k D_i]$ is qlc at the generic point of V. By shrinking X, we may assume that X is quasi-projective and that $[X, \omega + \frac{1}{l}D_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k D_i]$ is qlc everywhere. By taking general hyperplane cuts by adjunction (see, for example, [Fuj7, Theorem 3.8] and [Fuj6, Chapter 6]), we may assume that $\dim V = 0$. By shrinking X again, we may further assume that V is a closed point of X. Let $f : (Z, \Delta_Z) \to X$ be a quasi-log resolution of $[X, \omega]$ such that $\operatorname{Supp} \Delta_Z \cup \sum_{i=0}^k \operatorname{Supp} f^*D_i$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on Z (see the proof of Lemma 2.4). Let X' be an irreducible component of $\operatorname{Supp} D_1$ passing through V.

Claim. *There exists a stratum of $(Z, \Delta_Z + f^*D_1)$ which is mapped onto X'.*

Proof of Claim. Since $[X, \omega + D_1]$ is qlc, the coefficients of $\Delta_Z + f^*D_1$ are ≤ 1. Assume that no strata of $(Z, \Delta_Z + f^*D_1)$ are mapped onto X'. Then we can easily check that $f^*D_1 \leq \lceil -(\Delta_Z^{<1})\rceil$ over the generic point of X'. Thus we have

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_Z(\lceil -(\Delta_Z^{<1})\rceil) \supseteq \mathcal{O}_X(D_1) \supsetneq \mathcal{O}_X \tag{2.4}$$

at the generic point of X'. On the other hand, $f_*\mathcal{O}_Z(\lceil -(\Delta_Z^{<1})\rceil) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$ always holds because $[X, \omega]$ is qlc. Therefore, we get a contradiction. This means that there exists a stratum of $(Z, \Delta_Z + f^*D_1)$ which is mapped onto X'. □

Thus, $\operatorname{Supp} D_i$ does not contain X' for $i \neq 1$ and X' is a qlc center of

$$\left[X, \omega + \frac{1}{l}D_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k D_i\right] = \left[X, \omega + D_1 + \frac{1}{l}D_0 + \sum_{i=2}^k D_i\right].$$

By adjunction, $[X', (\omega + D_1)|_{X'} + \frac{1}{l}D_0|_{X'} + \sum_{i=2}^k D_k|_{X'}]$ is qlc. By repeating this argument $(k-1)$-times, we can reduce the problem to the case when $k = 1$, that is, $\dim X = 1$. In this case, we can easily get a contradiction. This is because there exists a stratum of $[Z, \Delta_Z + f^*D_1]$ which maps to V as above. Therefore, $[X, \omega + \frac{1}{l}D_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k D_i]$ is not qlc at the generic point of V for every $l > 0$. □

3. Kollár's method for quasi-log canonical pairs

This section is a direct generalization of [Fuj1, Section 2] for quasi-log canonical pairs. We will translate Kollár's method in [Kol1] into the framework of quasi-log canonical pairs.

3.1. Let $[X, \omega]$ be a qlc pair and let $\pi : X \to Y$ be a projective morphism onto an affine scheme Y. We assume that X is irreducible and that ω is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor (or a $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle) on X. Let $p : X \to Z$ be a proper surjective morphism onto a variety Z over Y with $p_*\mathcal{O}_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_Z$. We have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{p} & Z \\ {\scriptstyle \pi}\downarrow & \swarrow{\scriptstyle q} & \\ Y & & \end{array} \tag{3.1}$$

Let M be a π-semiample $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor on X and let N be a Cartier divisor on X. Assume that

$$N \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \omega + B + M, \tag{3.2}$$

where B is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor on X such that $\operatorname{Supp} B$ contains no qlc centers of $[X, \omega]$ and that $B = p^*B_Z$, where B_Z is an effective q-ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on Z. We can construct a natural quasi-log structure on $[X, \omega + B]$ by Lemma 2.4. Let $X \setminus \Sigma$ be the largest Zariski open subset such that $[X, \omega + B]$ is qlc. Assume that $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$. Let V be an irreducible component of Σ such that $\dim p(V)$ is maximal. We note that $V_Z = p(V)$ is not equal to Z since $B = p^*B_Z$. Let $f : (W, B_W) \to X$ be a quasi-log resolution of $[X, \omega]$. We can write

$$K_W + B_W \sim_{\mathbb{R}} f^*\omega. \tag{3.3}$$

We have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & & \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & \searrow{\scriptstyle g} & \\ X & \xrightarrow[p]{} & Z \\ {\scriptstyle \pi}\downarrow & \swarrow{\scriptstyle q} & \\ Y & & \end{array} \tag{3.4}$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$(W, \operatorname{Supp} B_W \cup \operatorname{Supp} f^*B) \tag{3.5}$$

is a globally embedded simple normal crossing pair. We may further assume that $\operatorname{Supp} g^{-1}(V_Z)$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on W and that

$$\bigl(W, \operatorname{Supp} B_W \cup \operatorname{Supp} f^*B \cup \operatorname{Supp} g^{-1}(V_Z)\bigr) \tag{3.6}$$

is a globally embedded simple normal crossing pair. Let c be the largest real number such that $[X, \omega + cB]$ is qlc over the generic point of $V_Z = p(V)$. We note that

$$K_W + B_W + cf^*B \sim_{\mathbb{R}} f^*(\omega + cB). \tag{3.7}$$

By the assumptions, we know that $0 < c < 1$. We can write

$$f^*N \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K_W + B_W + cf^*B + (1 - c)f^*B + f^*M. \tag{3.8}$$

We put

$$\lfloor B_W + cf^*B \rfloor = F + G_1 + G_2 - H, \tag{3.9}$$

where F, G_1, G_2, and H are effective and have no common irreducible components such that

(i) the g-image of every irreducible component of F is $V_Z = p(V)$,
(ii) the g-image of every irreducible component of G_1 does not contain V_Z,
(iii) the g-image of every irreducible component of G_2 contains V_Z but does not coincide with V_Z, and

(iv) $f_*\mathcal{O}_W(H) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$ since $f_*\mathcal{O}_W(\lceil -(B_W^{<1})\rceil) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$ and $0 \leq H \leq \lceil -(B_W^{<1})\rceil$.

By taking some more blow-ups, if necessary, we may assume that F, G_1, G_2, and H are Cartier. Note that $G_2 = \lfloor G_2 \rfloor$ is a reduced simple normal crossing divisor on W and that no stratum C of (W, G_2) satisfies $g(C) \subset V_Z$ since $\operatorname{Supp} g^{-1}(V_Z)$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on W. We also note that F is reduced by construction. We put $N' = f^*N + H - G_1$. Let us consider the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_W(N' - F) \to \mathcal{O}_W(N') \to \mathcal{O}_F(N') \to 0. \tag{3.10}$$

We note that

$$N' - F \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K_W + f^*M + (1-c)f^*B + \{B_W + cf^*B\} + G_2. \tag{3.11}$$

Therefore, the connecting homomorphism

$$g_*\mathcal{O}_F(N') \to R^1g_*\mathcal{O}_W(N' - F) \tag{3.12}$$

is a zero map (see, for example, [Fuj7, Theorem 3.8] and [Fuj6, Chapter 6]). Thus we obtain that

$$0 \to g_*\mathcal{O}_W(N' - F) \to g_*\mathcal{O}_W(N') \to g_*\mathcal{O}_F(N') \to 0 \tag{3.13}$$

is exact. By the vanishing theorem (see, for example, [Fuj7, Theorem 3.8] and [Fuj6, Chapter 6]), we have

$$H^i(Z, g_*\mathcal{O}_W(N' - F)) = H^i(Z, g_*\mathcal{O}_W(N')) = 0 \tag{3.14}$$

for every $i > 0$. Therefore,

$$H^0(Z, g_*\mathcal{O}_W(N')) \to H^0(Z, g_*\mathcal{O}_F(N')) \tag{3.15}$$

is surjective and $H^i(Z, g_*\mathcal{O}_F(N')) = 0$ for every $i > 0$. Thus we obtain

$$\dim H^0(F_\eta, \mathcal{O}_{F_\eta}(N')) = \chi((V_Z)_\eta, g_*\mathcal{O}_F(N')|_{(V_Z)_\eta}) \tag{3.16}$$

where η is the generic point of $\pi \circ f(F) = q \circ p(V) = q(V_Z)$.

3.2. In our application, M will be a variable divisor of the form

$$M_j = M_0 + jL^0, \tag{3.17}$$

where M_0 is a π-semiample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor and $L^0 = p^*L_Z^0$ with a q-ample Cartier divisor L_Z^0 on Z. Then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim H^0(F_\eta, \mathcal{O}_{F_\eta}(N_0' + jf^*L^0)) \\ &= \chi((V_Z)_\eta, (g_*\mathcal{O}_F(N_0') \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(jL_Z^0))|_{(V_Z)_\eta}) \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

is a polynomial in j for $j \geq 0$, where

$$N_0' = f^*N_0 + H - G_1 \tag{3.19}$$

and

$$N_0 \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \omega + B + M_0. \tag{3.20}$$

3.3. We assume that we establish $\dim H^0(F_\eta, \mathcal{O}_{F_\eta}(N')) \neq 0$. Then, by the above surjectivity (3.15), we can lift sections to $H^0(Z, g_*\mathcal{O}_W(N')) \simeq H^0(W, \mathcal{O}_W(f^*N + H - G_1))$. Since $F \not\subset \operatorname{Supp} G_1$, we get a section $s \in H^0(W, \mathcal{O}_W(f^*N + H))$ which is not identically zero along F. We know that

$$H^0(W, \mathcal{O}_W(f^*N + H)) \simeq H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(N)) \tag{3.21}$$

since $f_*\mathcal{O}_W(H) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$. Therefore, s descends to a section of $\mathcal{O}_X(N)$ which does not vanish along $f(F)$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $[X, \omega]$ be a qlc pair such that ω is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor (or a $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle) on X and that X is irreducible. Let : $p : X \to Z$ be a proper surjective morphism onto a variety Z over an affine scheme Y with $p_*\mathcal{O}_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_Z$.*

(3.22)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{p} & Z \\ {\scriptstyle \pi}\downarrow & \swarrow{\scriptstyle q} & \\ Y & & \end{array}$$

Let L_Z^0 be a q-ample Cartier divisor on Z and let $L_Z \sim mL_Z^0$ for some $m > 0$. We put $L^0 = p^*L_Z^0$ and $L = p^*L_Z$. Assume that $aL^0 - \omega$ is nef and log big over Y with respect to $[X, \omega]$ for some nonnegative real number a. Assume that $q_*\mathcal{O}_Z(L_Z) \neq 0$ and that $\mathrm{Bs}_\pi |L|$ contains no qlc centers of $[X, \omega]$, and let $V_Z \subset \mathrm{Bs}_q |L_Z|$ be an irreducible component with minimal $k = \mathrm{codim}_Z V_Z$. Then, with at most $\dim V_Z$ exceptions, V_Z is not contained in $\mathrm{Bs}_q |kL_Z + (j + \lceil 2a \rceil + 1)L_Z^0|$ for $j \geq 0$.

Proof. Let V be an irreducible component of $p^{-1}(V_Z)$ such that $\mathrm{codim}_X V \leq k$ and $p(V) = V_Z$. Let B_i be a general member of $|L|$ for every $0 \leq i \leq k$. We put

(3.23)
$$B = \frac{1}{2m}B_0 + B_1 + \cdots + B_k.$$

Then $B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2}L^0 + kL$, $[X, \omega + B]$ is qlc outside $\mathrm{Bs}_\pi |L|$ and $[X, \omega + B]$ is not qlc at the generic point of V by Lemma 2.5. We will apply the method in 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3 with

(3.24)
$$N_j = kL + (j + \lceil 2a \rceil + 1)L^0$$

(3.25)
$$M_0 = \lceil 2a \rceil L^0 - \omega + \frac{1}{2}L^0,$$

and

(3.26)
$$M_j = M_0 + jL^0.$$

We note that M_j is π-semiample for every $j \geq 0$ by the basepoint-free theorem of Reid–Fukuda type for qlc pairs (see [Fuj6, Chapter 6] and [Fuj7]) since M_j is π-nef and $M_j - \omega$ is nef and log big over Y with respect to $[X, \omega]$. The crucial point is to show that

(3.27)
$$\dim H^0(F_\eta, \mathcal{O}_{F_\eta}(N'_j)) = \chi((V_Z)_\eta, g_*\mathcal{O}_F(N'_j)|_{(V_Z)_\eta})$$

is not identically zero, where

(3.28)
$$N'_j = f^*N_j + H - G_1$$

for every j. Let $C \subset F$ be a general fiber of $F \to g(F) = V_Z$. Then

(3.29)
$$N'_0 = (g^*(kL_Z + (\lceil 2a \rceil + 1)L_Z^0) + H - G_1)|_C = H|_C.$$

Hence $g_*\mathcal{O}_F(N'_0)$ is not the zero sheaf, and

(3.30)
$$H^0(F, \mathcal{O}_F(N'_j)) = H^0(Z, g_*\mathcal{O}_F(N'_0) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(jL_Z^0)) \neq 0$$

for $j \gg 1$. Therefore, $\dim H^0(F_\eta, \mathcal{O}_{F_\eta}(N'_j))$ is a nonzero polynomial of degree at most $\dim V_Z$ in j for $j \geq 0$. Thus it can vanish for at most $\dim V_Z$ different values of j. This implies that

(3.31)
$$\begin{aligned} f(F) &\not\subset \mathrm{Bs}_\pi |kL + (j + \lceil 2a \rceil + 1)L^0| \\ &= p^{-1}\,\mathrm{Bs}_q |kL_Z + (j + \lceil 2a \rceil + 1)L_Z^0| \end{aligned}$$

with at most $\dim V_Z$ exceptions. Therefore,

(3.32)
$$V_Z = g(F) \not\subset \mathrm{Bs}_q |kL_Z + (j + \lceil 2a \rceil + 1)L_Z^0|$$

with at most $\dim V_Z$ exceptions. This is what we wanted. □

Corollary 3.5. *We use the same notation as in Lemma 3.4. We further assume that* $m \geq 2a + \dim Z$. *We put* $k = \operatorname{codim}_Z \operatorname{Bs}_q |L_Z|$. *Then we have*

$$\dim \operatorname{Bs}_q |(2k+2)L_Z| < \dim \operatorname{Bs}_q |L_Z|. \tag{3.33}$$

Proof. It is obvious that $\operatorname{Bs}_q |(2k+2)L_Z| \subset \operatorname{Bs}_q |L_Z|$. Let V_Z be a maximal dimensional irreducible component of $\operatorname{Bs}_q |L_Z|$. Then there is a value $0 \leq j < \dim Z$ such that V_Z is not in the base loci of

$$|kL_Z + (j + \lceil 2a \rceil + 1)L_Z^0| \quad \text{and} \quad |kL_Z + (2m - j - \lceil 2a \rceil - 1)L_Z^0| \tag{3.34}$$

by Lemma 3.4. Therefore, we see that V_Z is not in the base locus of

$$\begin{aligned} &|kL_Z + (j + \lceil 2a \rceil + 1)L_Z^0 + kL_Z + (2m - j - \lceil 2a \rceil - 1)L_Z^0| \\ &= |2kL_Z + 2mL_Z^0| = |(2k+2)L_Z|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

Thus, we obtain $\operatorname{Bs}_q |(2k+2)L_Z| \subsetneq \operatorname{Bs}_q |L_Z|$. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let* $\pi : X \to Y$ *be a projective morphism from an irreducible qlc pair* $[X, \omega]$ *to an affine scheme* Y. *Let* D *be a* π*-nef Cartier divisor on* X *such that* $aD - \omega$ *is nef and log big over* Y *with respect to* $[X, \omega]$. *Then we can find an effective Cartier divisor* $D' \in |2(\lceil a \rceil + \dim X)D|$ *such that* $\operatorname{Supp} D'$ *contains no qlc centers of* $[X, \omega]$.

Proof. Let S be an arbitrary qlc stratum of $[X, \omega]$. Let us consider the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{I}_S \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(jD) \to \mathcal{O}_X(jD) \to \mathcal{O}_S(jD) \to 0 \tag{3.36}$$

where $\mathcal{I}_S$ is the defining ideal sheaf of S. By the vanishing theorem for qlc pairs (see, for example, [Fuj7, Theorem 3.8] and [Fuj6, Chapter 6]),

$$R^i\pi_*(\mathcal{I}_S \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(jD)) = R^i\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(jD) = R^i\pi_*\mathcal{O}_S(jD) = 0 \tag{3.37}$$

for every $i \geq 1$ and $j \geq a$. Let η be the generic point of $\pi(S)$. Then we have that

$$\dim H^0(S_\eta, \mathcal{O}_{S_\eta}(jD)) = \chi(S_\eta, \mathcal{O}_{S_\eta}(jD)) \tag{3.38}$$

holds for $j \geq a$. We note that $\chi(S_\eta, \mathcal{O}_{S_\eta}(jD))$ is a nonzero polynomial in j because $|mD|$ is basepoint-free for $m \gg 0$. We note that the natural restriction map

$$\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(jD) \to \pi_*\mathcal{O}_S(jD) \tag{3.39}$$

is surjective for every $j \geq a$ by (3.37). Therefore, with at most $\dim S_\eta$ exceptions, $S \not\subset \operatorname{Bs}_\pi |(\lceil a \rceil + j)D|$ for $j \geq 0$. Thus, we can take an effective Cartier divisor $D' \in |2(\lceil a \rceil + \dim X)D|$ such that D' contains no qlc centers of $[X, \omega]$ by the same argument as in the proof of Corollary 3.5. □

Remark 3.7. In this section, we need the projectivity of $\pi : X \to Y$ only when we use the basepoint-free theorem of Reid–Fukuda type for qlc pairs (see [Fuj6, Chapter 6] and [Fuj7]). Therefore, if we apply the method explained in this section to kawamata log terminal pairs, then it is sufficient to assume that $\pi : X \to Y$ is only proper by the usual Kawamata–Shokurov basepoint-free theorem.

4. Effective very ampleness lemma

The following easy lemma is a quasi-log canonical version of [Fuj11, Lemma 7.1].

Lemma 4.1 (Effective very ampleness lemma)**.** *Let* $[X, \omega]$ *be a quasi-log canonical pair and let* $\pi : X \to Y$ *be a projective morphism between schemes. Let* A *be a* π*-ample Cartier divisor on* X *such that* $\mathcal{O}_X(A)$ *is* π*-generated. Assume that* $A - \omega$ *is nef and log big over* Y *with respect to* $[X, \omega]$. *Then* $(\dim X + 1)A$ *is very ample over* Y.

Proof. By the vanishing theorem for qlc pairs (see, for example, [Fuj7, Theorem 3.8] and [Fuj6, Chapter 6]), we have

$$R^i\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X((n+1-i)A)=0 \tag{4.1}$$

for every $i>0$, where $n=\dim X$. Then, by the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity, we obtain that

$$\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(A)\otimes\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(mA)\to\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X((m+1)A) \tag{4.2}$$

is surjective for every $m\geq n+1$ (see, for example, [Kle] and [Laz, Example 1.8.24]). Therefore, we see that

$$\mathrm{Sym}^k\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X((n+1)A)\to\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(k(n+1)A) \tag{4.3}$$

is surjective for every $k\geq 1$. This implies that $(n+1)A$ is π-very ample. □

We note that [Kol1, 1.2 Lemma] does not seem to be true as stated. Therefore, we will use Lemma 4.1 in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 in Section 5.

5. Proof of Main Theorems

In this final section, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In Step 1, we will prove the effective basepoint-free theorems in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. Then we will check the effective very ampleness in Step 2.

Step 1 (Effective freeness)**.** By shrinking Y, we may assume that Y is affine. By perturbing ω when $aD-\omega$ is π-ample, we may further assume that ω is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor (or a $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle) on X. By the observation in 1.8, we may assume that X is irreducible. By Lemma 3.6, we can find an effective Cartier divisor $D'\in|2(\lceil a\rceil+\dim X)D|$ such that $\mathrm{Supp}\,D'$ contains no qlc centers of $[X,\omega]$. By the basepoint-free theorem for qlc pairs (see, for example, [Fuj6, Chapter 6]), we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{p} & Z \\ {\scriptstyle\pi}\downarrow & \swarrow{\scriptstyle q} & \\ Y & & \end{array} \tag{5.1}$$

such that $p_*\mathcal{O}_X\simeq\mathcal{O}_Z$ and that $D\sim p^*D_Z$ for some q-ample Cartier divisor D_Z on Z. By applying Lemma 3.4 repeatedly in order to lower the dimension of $\dim\mathrm{Bs}_q|mD_Z|$, we finally obtain that

$$|2^{\dim X+1}(\dim X+1)!(\lceil a\rceil+\dim X)D| \tag{5.2}$$

is basepoint-free.

Step 2 (Effective very ampleness)**.** By combining the effective basepoint-free theorem in Step 1 with Lemma 4.1, we obtain that

$$2^{\dim X+1}(\dim X+1)!(\lceil a\rceil+\dim X)(\dim X+1)D \tag{5.3}$$

is π-very ample.

We have completed the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. □

We close this section with the proof of Corollary 1.4.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Without loss of generality, we may assume that Y is affine. Then X is quasi-projective since π is projective. By [Fuj5, Theorem 1.2] (see Theorem 1.7), $[X, K_X + \Delta]$ has a natural quasi-log structure compatible with the original semi-log canonical structure of (X, Δ). For the details, see [Fuj5]. Then, by applying Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 to $[X, K_X + \Delta]$, we obtain the desired statements. □

References

[Amb] F. Ambro, Quasi-log varieties, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **240** (2003), Biratsion. Geom. Linein. Sist. Konechno Porozhdennye Algebry, 220–239; translation in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 2003, no. 1 (240), 214–233.

[AS] U. Angehrn, Y.-T. Siu, Effective freeness and point separation for adjoint bundles, Invent. Math. **122** (1995), no. 2, 291–308.

[Dem] J.-P. Demailly, A numerical criterion for very ample line bundles, J. Differential Geom. **37** (1993), no. 2, 323–374.

[Fuj1] O. Fujino, Effective base point free theorem for log canonical pairs—Kollár type theorem, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **61** (2009), no. 4, 475–481.

[Fuj2] O. Fujino, Effective base point free theorem for log canonical pairs, II. Angehrn–Siu type theorems, Michigan Math. J. **59** (2010), no. 2, 303–312.

[Fuj3] O. Fujino, Introduction to the theory of quasi-log varieties, *Classification of algebraic varieties*, 289–303, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2011.

[Fuj4] O. Fujino, Fundamental theorems for the log minimal model program, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **47** (2011), no. 3, 727–789.

[Fuj5] O. Fujino, Fundamental theorems for semi log canonical pairs, Algebr. Geom. **1** (2014), no. 2, 194–228.

[Fuj6] O. Fujino, Foundation of the minimal model program, preprint (2014). 2014/4/16 version 0.01

[Fuj7] O. Fujino, Basepoint-free theorem of Reid–Fukuda type for quasi-log schemes, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **52** (2016), no. 1, 63–81.

[Fuj8] O. Fujino, Vanishing theorems, *Minimal models and Extremal Rays (Kyoto, 2011)*, 299–321, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., **70**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2016.

[Fuj9] O. Fujino, Pull-backs of quasi-log structures, to appear in Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.

[Fuj10] O. Fujino, Injectivity theorems, to appear in *Higher dimensional algebraic geometry in honour of Professor Yujiro Kawamata's sixtieth birthday*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., **74**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2017.

[Fuj11] O. Fujino, Effective basepoint-free theorem for semi-log canonical surfaces, preprint (2015). arXiv:1509.07268 [math.AG]

[Kle] S. L. Kleiman, Toward a numerical theory of ampleness, Ann. of Math. (2) **84** (1966), 293–344.

[Kol1] J. Kollár, Effective base point freeness, Math. Ann. **296** (1993), no. 4, 595–605.

[Kol2] J. Kollár, Singularities of pairs, *Algebraic geometry—Santa Cruz 1995*, 221–287, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **62**, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.

[Laz] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series*, Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, **48**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[Liu] H. Liu, The Angehrn–Siu type effective freeness for quasi-log canonical pairs, preprint (2016). arXiv:1601.01028

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

E-mail address: fujino@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp